

Korespondensi Artikel pada jurnal Nasional terakreditasi sinta 3: Journal of Community Empowerment for Health
 Dengan judul: Gempa Perekat: The Movement to Process Garbage Becomes an Educational Game Tool for Early Childhood Through Self-Help Groups for Family Welfare Development

Submit

#80622 Summary

SUMMARY
REVIEW
EDITING

Submission

Authors Mizam Ari Kurniyanti, Ahmad Guntur Alfianto, Miftakhul Ulfa, Ari Dwi Sulaksono

Title Gempa Perekat: The Movement to Process Garbage Becomes an Educational Game Tool for Early Childhood Through Self-Help Groups for Family Welfare Development

Original file 80622-282680-1-SM.DOCX 2022-12-25

Supp. files 80622-282681-1-SPPDF 2022-12-25
80622-282682-1-SPPDF 2022-12-25

Submitter AHMAD Guntur Alfianto

Date submitted December 25, 2022 - 12:56 PM

Section Original Research Articles

Editor Muhammad Rahman

Author comments Review Pendampingan Penulisan Artikel Ilmiah JCOEMPH hasil revisi bulan Oktober 2022

Abstract Views 125

Riview

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version 80622-282683-2-RV.DOCX 2023-02-02

Initiated 2023-02-02

Last modified 2023-04-03

Uploaded file None

Editor Decision

Decision Accept Submission 2023-04-04

Notify Editor Editor/Author Email Record 2023-04-03

Editor Version 80622-282683-1-ED.DOCX 2023-02-02

Author Version 80622-282841-1-ED.DOCX 2022-12-26 DELETE
80622-282841-3-ED.DOCX 2023-03-15 DELETE
80622-282841-4-ED.DOCX 2023-03-15 DELETE
80622-282841-5-ED.DOCX 2023-03-16 DELETE

Upload Author Version No file chosen

ISSN: 2655-0164

Dear author,
 Please fill the author responses column with your revision or rebuttal statement.

JCOEMPH'S RESPONSE TO REVIEWER

"Gempa Perekat: The Movement to Process Garbage Becomes an Educational Game Tool for Early Childhood Through Self-Help Groups for Family Welfare Development"

No	First Reviewer Recommendation	Author Responses
1	<p>General comment: The abstract part is still unclear, especially the first sentence's meaning is still unclear. for statistical test results it is better to write (p>0.00) and the number (73) is still unclear</p> <p>Introduction: The introduction already contains the background for the activities. However, the paragraphs are still not in order and are a little confusing</p>	<p>General comment: The abstract part has been corrected by adjusting the sentence and the value has been adjusted according to the explanation according to the results</p> <p>Introduction: The paragraphs have been rearranged so that the explanation is clear</p>
2	<p>Methodology: for differences in data analyzed using a quantitative or qualitative approach, it is still unclear in the initial paragraph. It is sufficient to use a sentence explaining that ethics is obtained from which institution. for used questionnaire's reference is still not written</p>	<p>Methodology: The distinction between qualitative and quantitative methods is difficult to fix. Research ethics has been improved. The instruments used based on the results of the modification have also been listed</p>
3	<p>Results: the results of a qualitative approach have not yet been briefly explained. Mostly the results of</p>	<p>Results: Qualitative data has been explained by compiling the data according to the results.</p>

Editorial Board

Peer Reviewers

Order Journal

Visitor Statistics

USER

You are logged in as...
ahmad_guntur_ners_wgh

- [▶ My Journals](#)
- [▶ My Profile](#)
- [▶ Log Out](#)

CITATION ANALYSIS

- [▶ Google Scholar](#)
- [▶ Dimensions](#)

TEMPLATE

4	Discussion:	
5	Conclusion:	
6	Reference:	
No	Second Reviewer Recommendation	Author Responses
1.	<p>General comment: Good topic and interesting but need to do the proofreading and write more detail about methodology, and result of study. The methodology is not clear and the result of qualitative is nothing.</p> <p>Introduction: Good</p>	<p>General comment: The qualitative and quantitative methodologies have been explained in more detail and the results have also been corrected as suggested</p> <p>Introduction: Thanks</p>
2.	<p>Method: Not clear for quantitative and qualitative study.</p>	<p>Method: Qualitative studies have been explained in more detail and also for quantitative studies</p>
3.	<p>Result: There is no qualitative result for theme or sub theme.</p>	<p>Result: The theme that we produced before had not been written down. For this improvement, we have improved the themes and the preparation of qualitative data to be clearer</p>
4.	Discussion:	
	NA	

Publish

Layout

Galley Format	FILE	
1. PDF VIEW PROOF	80622-305321-2-PB.PDF 2023-06-14	35

Supplementary Files	FILE	
1. DESCRIPTION OF ETHICAL APPROVAL "ETHICAL APPROVAL"	80622-282681-1-SPPDF 2022-12-25	
2. Statement of Originality	80622-282682-1-SPPDF 2022-12-25	

Layout Comments [2023-05-06](#)

Proofreading

REVIEW/METADATA

	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Author	2023-05-04	2023-05-04	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2023-05-06
2. Proofreader	-	-	-
3. Layout Editor	-	-	-

Proofreading Corrections [2023-05-06](#)

ISSN: 2655-0164

Home > User > Author > Archive

Archive

[ACTIVE](#) [ARCHIVE](#)

ID	MM-DD SUBMIT	SEC	AUTHORS	TITLE	VIEWS	STATUS
80622	12-25	ORT	Kurniyanti, Alfianto, Uifa, Sulaksono	GEMPA PEREKAT: THE MOVEMENT TO PROCESS GARBAGE BECOMES AN...	35	Vol 6, No 1 (2023): Vol 6, No 1 (2023)

0 - 0 of 1 Items

Start a New Submission

[CLICK HERE](#) to go to step one of the five-step submission process.

Log Out

CITATION ANALYSIS

Google Scholar

Dimensions

TEMPLATE



Article template

SUGGESTED TOOLS

MENDELEY

grammarly

EndNote

Focus & Scope

Author Guidelines

Author Fees

Online Submission

Statement of Originality

Copyright Transfer Form

Publication Ethics

Screening For Plagiarism

Editorial Board

Peer Reviewers